

News and plan TDCPV meeting 24/8/2020

Stefano Lacaprara, Yusa-san INFN Padova, Niigata Univerity

Lumi and DP plan

Int. *L* [fb⁻¹] 300 (978 bunches) From Suetsugu-san For ICHEP ~35 /fb @June B2GM Other ~30 /fb already collected and being $proc_{200}$ ~110 fb⁻¹ Bucket13 ~5/fb **DONE** 0 Bucket14 ~10/fb **DONE** @kekcc Ο ~75 fb⁻¹ Running on grid н. 100 Bucket15 ~20/fb calibration in progress 0 Total ~75/fb (including 10% off-res) 19/10/2 20/1/: 20/7/1 20/10/1 20/12/31 21/4/ 20/4/1 2020c Additional ~40 /fb foreseen for 2020c ~40 /fb

Total ~110 /fb

Tentative DP plan for Moriond 2021

- Tentative plan for Data processing
 - Finish prompt processing exp12 with release 4
 - No reprocessing of exp12



To be discussed at DP Workshop 8-11 Sept

- No major update in calibration expected, so stick with prompt.
- Prompt processing of 2020c data with release 5
- Reprocessing of 2019/2020 data (proc12) w/ release 5 later
 - Unlikely to be available for Moriond
- Tentative plan for MC production
 - MC14 will start on ~Oct w/ release 5
 - Run dependent (tbd /ab) and run independent (~1/ab)
- For Moriond timescale, we will likely work on data and MC we already (almost) have and w/ release4
 - To be confirmed!

Analysis SKIM (udst)

- For MC14 we expect to use only udst
 - Mdst only for limited/special use cases
- For Data (proc12) udst will be produced
 - but access to mdst will be possible but not encouraged
- Each analysis need to validate udst for its signal
 - We already know that we need to fix something
 - \circ \quad We need to have it fixed before udst production for MC start
 - Do not aim for perfection, this will be an iterative process
 - Do we need additional skims?
 - Eg dileptonic one (by Ale)
 - Low multiplicity channels (eg B->pi0pi0) being discussed in charmless WG
 - Need coordination with other WG (mostly charmless for us)
- More discussion on Data Production workshop 8-12 sept
 - Thursday 10th dedicated to skimming



To be discussed at DP Workshop 8-11 Sept

From phys coordinator



- Will collect all results for ICHEP <u>LINK</u> into a public page, to be advertised
 - Author need to contribute to description of analysis motivations/techniques/results, and send some text to Ale, Tom Browder, and Todd Pedlar.
- Schedule for future publication similar to that for ICHEP
 - "Just in time" should be an exception
 - Ok-ish for conferences, not in general
 - A good analysis need a good review
 - So need time for reviewers
 - Documentation has to be in good shape already when RC starts
 - This was a weak point for J/psi Ks rush for ICHEP
- Data taking so far (mostly) with HLT in monitoring mode: will switch to HLT-filtering mode in 2021, and start partially on 2020c run
 - Should not affect our WG, but it would be nice to verify this
 - Run on some selection for our channel on all events and check the impact of HLT filter
 - Might be important for low multiplicity final states (eg B->pi0 pi0)

	Recommended	"Just in time"
Start of WG review	June 1 st	June 15 th
Start of RC review	June 20 th	July 3 rd
Start of CWR	July 10 th	July 20 th

Plan for WG publication/papers



- Phys coordinator strongly encourage full conference paper wrt approved plot
- Plan up to winter conferences, using ~70 /fb dataset
- Candidates:
 - B0 -> Eta' Ks
 - B0 -> J/psi KL
 - J/psi Ks with MC reweight approach ?
 - Some remarks already raised in past WG meeting
 - Other analysis?

• Plan for J/psi Ks - standard method (next slides)

J/psi Ks



- Approved plot and first measurement of Δm_d and CP parameter
- Next step (as suggested by Ale @juneB2GM) could be:
 - B lifetime and mixing frequency
 - Also tau(B+)
 - target is a journal paper
- Double goals:
 - Have a publishable result
 - Need to assess all systematics, again, a good exercise toward CPV parameters measurements.
 - Validate all analysis tools,
 - To achieve the measurement we will need to cover all key elements which are needed for a full TDCPV measurement, which will follow later.

Δm_d and τ_B status @B-factories





Sharing the work



- We have two strong groups in WG:
 - Need to ensure cooperation for common items but also encourage both groups to reach publishable results
- Proposed solution:
 - EU groups focus on Δm_d and w measurement using the hadronic channels using analytical resolution approach
 - Japan group focus Δm_d on semileptonic (and J/psi Ks) using belle-style resolution function
 - Dileptonic can be done also
- Thibaud and Chiara to lead/coordinate the two groups as was for ICHEP
 - Need to report regularly at the WG meeting
 - Both for individual tasks and for the analysis as a whole

Longer term plan



- Resolution function should be interchangeable ("plug-in") so each analysis can use the one from the other group
 - This will allow a fair comparison of the full performances of both approach
 - Possible to choose which one would be the main method and which one the cross-check one
 - Or (even better) understand the pros and weakness of either and try to merge the two methods.
- This will provide a lot of lesson and expertise in view of sin(2φ₁) once the Belle II dataset will be comparable/larger than Belle.
 - $\circ~$ Measurement of Δm_d with both resolution functions will allow to develop both methods at the same time.

Tentative task lists



All tasks sharing and people need to be discussed and agreed upon, people listed here are those who contributed to the items so far (and we might have missed someone, sorry)

- CP side:
 - J/psiKs selection re-tuning/inputs for CP fit
 - Simple selection vs BDT
 - Scaling of vertex error to improve pull width to be unit (Higuchi)
 - Tag side: investigate usage of tree fitter (Lukas)
 - CP-side resolution model validation (Japan group)
- Tag side
 - TagV MC/data difference when applying IP constraints (Radek)
 - Flavor tagger inputs MC/data difference (Fernando, Colm)
 - Δw for larger statistics (Fernando, Colm)
- Control samples
 - Semi-leptonic control samples (Thomas, Bae, Sumisawa, Hara)
 - Hadronic control samples (Thibaud, Jakub)

Tasks (cont'd)

- Background studies
 - Peaking, MC truth matching
- Resolution function
 - Ok to continue with two approaches (analytical [EU] vs Belle style [J])
 - need to be sure that the actual function is shared and both can be plug in the fitter core to compare them with a complete analysis.
 - Outcome of full analysis will help to decide a long term solution
- Other charmonium states $(\psi(2s), \eta_C, \chi_C)$
- Fitter
 - Fit procedure/Fitter common code (Chiara, Thibaud):
 - to be merged into a single code (and on stash)
 - Plug in of resolution functions
 - Binned-w for CP fit (Chiara)

Data Production liason



- Sviat Bilokin wishes to step down as Data Production liason
 - Many thanks for all the work done!
- Data Production Liaisons responsibilities:
 - https://confluence.desy.de/display/BI/Data+Production+Liaisons
 - \circ ~ Be aware and report MC and DP status and plan to WG ~
 - Follow be-weekly DP meeting
 - Collect MC generation request and submit to MC prod repository
 - Well documented
 - Follow and check production
 - Validation of new release for WG
- A good opportunity to get more involved in WG as well as MC and Data production
- Anyone interested?
 - Get in touch with Conveeners for more information

Today meeting





Backup

Data Production status



- bucket13 (2020a/b data runs 4272-4481, ~5.0/fb): details on Processing 2020a-b
 - HLT skims on KEKCC, all events on the grid
 - Also mdst for hlt_hadron available on the grid
 - And udst systematics skim
- bucket14 (2020a/b data runs 4686-5247, ~9.7/fb): details on Processing 2020a-b
 - HLT skims on KEKCC
 - hlt_hadron + all events on the grid (in progress)
- bucket15 (2020a/b data runs 5248-6427, ~19.0/fb): details on Processing 2020a-b
 - Cdst production for post-tracking calibration in progress
- Slow down due to kekcc/distributed computing servers replacement