Program

- Accelerators and detectors
- QCD Measurements
- b and c quark properties
- top properties
- new physics searches

Colliders and Detectors

Contents

Introduction Collider history Recent Collider Detectors for collider physics

Why Colliders

Particles with high mass and low production cross section had/have to be experimentally discovered to verify the validity of the Standard Model

Colliders have been and are a very powerful tool.



Colliders vs. fixed target: Rate

Fixed target:

Beam with n₁ particles per second

Target of length I with density particles n_2 per m^3

For each single particle the number of interaction in the target:

 $N = \sigma_{int} \cdot n_2 \cdot I$

where σ_{in} is the interaction cross section. If the target is larger than the beam, the rate R $R = dN/dt = \sigma_{int} \cdot n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot l$ $R = \sigma_{int} \cdot L$ $L = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot l$ is the luminsity $[cm^{-2}s^{-1}]$ The luminosity depends only on target and beam

Colliders vs. fixed target: Rate (2)

Colliders

Two beams with n_1 and n_2 particles per area

 $\frac{dn_1}{ds} = \frac{n_1}{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y} e^{-\left(x^2/2\sigma_x^2 + y^2/2\sigma_y^2\right)}$ $\frac{dn_2}{ds} = \frac{n_2}{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y} e^{-\left(x^2/2\sigma_x^2 + y^2/2\sigma_y^2\right)}$

Gaussian distribution normalized to number of particles

Number of particles n_1 in an area dxdy $dn_1(x,y) = \frac{n_1}{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y}e^{-(x^2/2\sigma_x^2+y^2/2\sigma_y^2)} dxdy$

The probability of interaction of a particle in beam 1 in (x,y) is the number of particles of beam 2 in the area σ_{in}

$$p(x, y) = dn_2(x, y) = \frac{n_2}{2\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y} e^{-(x^2/2\sigma_x^2 + y^2/2\sigma_y^2)} \cdot \sigma_{int}$$

July 21, 2014

Colliders vs. Fixed Target: Rate(3)

Total number of interaction per bunch per crossing N_{int}:

$$N_{\text{int}} = \int dn_{I}(x,y) p(x,y) = \sigma_{int} \frac{n_{1}n_{2}}{4\pi^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}} \int e^{-\left(\frac{x^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}} + \frac{y^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)} dx dy$$
$$= \sigma_{int} \frac{n_{1}n_{2}}{4\pi^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \cdot e^{-x^{2} \sigma_{x}^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \cdot e^{-y^{2} \sigma_{y}^{2}} = \sigma_{int} \frac{n_{1}n_{2}}{4\pi \sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}}$$
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}} = \sqrt{\pi} \sigma \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma \sqrt{2}} \int dx e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2(\sigma\sqrt{2})^{2}}} = \sqrt{\pi} \cdot \sigma$$

Given k packets in each bunch with a frequency f, the rate R

$$R = N_{\text{int}} \cdot f/k = \sigma_{\text{int}} \cdot L = \frac{n_1 n_2}{4 \pi \sigma_x \sigma_y k} \cdot f\sigma_{\text{int}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad L = \frac{n_1 n_2 f}{4 \pi \sigma_x \sigma_y k}$$

July 21, 2014

Colliders vs. Fixed Target: Rate

Assumptions:

- same C.M. Energy
- same interaction cross section (e.g. $\sigma_{in} \sim 1 \mu b$)

Fixed target



n= incident beam density = 10¹² particles s⁻¹ ρ = target density = 1gr/cm³ I= target thickeness =1cm $\sigma_{int} = 1 \mu b$ A= Avogadro number = 6×10^{23} $R = n \cdot \rho \cdot l \cdot A \cdot \sigma_{\text{int}} = 6 \times 10^5 \, \text{s}^{-1}$

Colliders vs. Fixed Target: Rate cont'd

<u>Collider</u>

 n_1 n_2

- $n_1 = n_2 = beam particles$ $i_1 = i_2 = 50 \text{ mA} \rightarrow n_1 = n_2 = i_{1,2}/ef = 3.3 \times 10^{11}$
- F= transverse section of beams= 0.1x0.01 cm²
- B= bunch number= 1

f= revolution frequency = 10^6 s^{-1}

$$R = \frac{n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot f}{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text{int}} = \frac{i_1 \cdot i_2}{f \cdot e^2 \cdot F} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text{int}} \cong 100 s^{-1}$$

July 21, 2014

Center of Mass Energy

Beam/target particles interaction:



 $P_2 = 0$ in the lab. system

 $E_{cm}^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2 E_1 \cdot m_1$



Collinear beams:

$$E_{CM}^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 4E_1E_2$$

July 21, 2014





$$L = \frac{n_{1}n_{2}fB}{4\pi\sigma_{x}\sigma_{y}k} = \frac{N^{2}fB}{A}$$

 $n_1 = n_2 = N$, B=number of bunches A= interaction area

In the ideal case particles are lost only due to interactions: $dN/dt = -L \cdot \sigma_{int} \cdot n/B$ where n=number of detectors receiving luminosity L





 $I(T)\equiv\int_{0}^{T}\mathcal{L}(t)dt$:



A bit of History

1961 AdA, Frascati Italy 1964 VEPP 2 Novosibirsk, URSS 1965 ACO, Orsay, France 1969 ADONE, Frascati 1970 ISR, CERN Swiss 1971 CEA, Cambridge, USA 1972 SPEAR Stanford USA 8 GeV 1974 DORIS, Amburg, Germany 1975 VEPP-2M Novosibirsk, URSS 1978 PETRA Amburgo Germany 45 GeV 1979 CESR Cornell USA 1980 PEP Stanford USA 1981 Sp-parS CERN Swiss 630 GeV 1982 TEVATRON Fermilab USA 2TeV 1989 SLC, Stanford USA 90 GeV 1989 BEPC, Bejin china July 21, 2014

1989 LEP CERN
1992 HERA, Amburg Germany
1994 VEPP-4M Novosibirsk Russia
1998 PEP-II Stanford USA
1999 DA&NE, Frascati Italy
1999 KEKB Tsukuba Japan
2003 VEPP-2000 Novosibirsk Russia
2008 LHC CERN Swiss
14 TeV

electron-positron proton-proton electron-pronton proton-antiproton

Hadron Colliders







July 21, 2014

ISR: First Publication



July 21, 2014

Corso Dottorato 2014

Hadron Colliders: ISR

Standard Model just at the begin, most phenomenology π , k, p production cross sections on protons seem constant with E Most important results:

Measurement of σ(pp), increasing with energy. Later it was determined that all the hadronic cross sections increase at energy of IRS



3. Total cross sections on protons. Only momentum dependent errors are shown [4.2].

July 21, 2014

Corso Dottorato 2014

Hadron Colliders: ISR

Standard Model just at the begin, most phenomenology π , k, p production cross sections on protons seem constant with E Most important results:

- > measurement of $\sigma(p\overline{p})$, increasing with energy
- determination of do/dt (quadri-momentum). It follows optical-diffractive model
 100 F

Difference of p-p and p-p cross section, at high energies goes to zero



Fig. 43 Measurements of the total cross-section difference, $\sigma_{T}(p\bar{p})-\sigma_{T}(pp)$, vs. p_{lab}

Corso Dottorato 2014

Hadron Colliders: ISR

Standard Model just at the begin, most phenomenology π , k, p production cross sections on protons seem constant with E Most important results:

- > measurement of $\sigma(p\overline{p})$, increasing with energy
- determination of do/dt (quadri-momentum). It follows optical-diffractive model
- first hint of jets: excess of secondary tracks at (high) transverse energy



Hadron Colliders: SpS (Super Proton Synchrotron)

1982 CERN was able to produce, accumulate, cool and accelerate pbar thanks to Simon Van der Meer **PRODUCTION**



Fig. 5. General layout of the $p\bar{p}$ colliding scheme, from Ref. [9]. Protons (100 GeV/c) are periodically extracted in short bursts and produce 3.5 GeV/c antiprotons, which are accumulated and cooled in the small stacking ring. Then \bar{p} 's are reinjected in an RF bucket of the main ring and accelerated to top energy. They collide head on against a bunch filled with protons of equal energy and rotating in the opposite direction.

UA1 and UA2 Detectors

The detectors UA1 & UA2 Underground Area 1,2: 35 meters underground



SpS Results



July 21, 2014

SpS Results: W discovery





Hadron Colliders: Tevatron

The first super-conducting syncroton Electric field to accelerate particles Magnetic field to drive and focus particles using dipoles and quadrupoles

Complex chain:

July 21, 2014



FERMILAB'S ACCELERATOR CHAIN MAIN INJECTOR RECYCLER TEVATRON DZERO TARGET HALL ANTIPROTON SOURCE CDF BOOSTER LINAC COCKCROFT-WALTON PROTON Direction Directie MESON NEUTRINO Corso Dottorato 2014



Cockroft-Walton accelerator: H⁻ ions produced and accelerated up to **750 keV**



July 21, 2014





á

washing .

150 m long **Linac**: H⁻ up to **400 MeV**



STELLED CONSTRUCTION

July 21, 2014





The **Booster synchrotron** strips electrons off H⁻ and accelerates remaining protons up to **8 GeV**







FERMILAB'S ACCELERATOR CHAIN



Main Injector:

- p up to 120 GeV for anti-p prod.
 deliver p-beams to fixed target exp
 accelerate p/anti-p up to 150 GeV for Tevatron Injection.
- send to recycler anti-p after stores





MAIN INJECTOR RECYCLER TEVATRON DZERO TARGET HALL ANTIPROTON SOURCE CDF BOOSTER LINAC COCKCROFT-WALTON PROTON ntiproton Direction Directio MESON NEUTRINO

FERMILAB'S ACCELERATOR CHAIN

Recycler, 8 GeV fixed energy storage ring: recover and recool anti-p left over after Tevatron collision operations



Main Injector & Recycler



MAIN INJECTOR RECYCLER TEVATRON DZERO TARGET HALL ANTIPROTON SOURCE CDF BOOSTER LINAC COCKCROFT-WALTON PROTON ntiproton Direction Directio NEUTRINO MESON

FERMILAB'S ACCELERATOR CHAIN

Tevatron: p/anti-p beams up to **980 GeV**, providing a center of mass energy of **1.96 TeV**



Tevatron bunch structure



2.6µs

The new hadron Colliders: LHC

1982 : First studies for the LHC project

- 1983 : Z0/W discovered at SPS proton antiproton collider (SppbarS)
- 1989 : Start of LEP operation (Z/W boson-factory)
- 1994 : Approval of the LHC by the CERN Council
- 1996 : Final decision to start the LHC construction
- 2000 : Last year of LEP operation above 100 GeV
- 2002 : LEP equipment removed
- 2003 : Start of LHC installation
- 2005 : Start of LHC hardware commissioning
- 2008 : Start of (short) beam commissioning

Powering incident on 19th Sept.

2009 : Repair, re-commissioning and beam commissioning

J. Wenninger



How particles interacts with matters

Particles interacts with matter depending on the type of particle and the energy.

We use the energy deposited by the particle to identify the it.

Charge Particle \rightarrow collision with atoms and atomic $e \rightarrow$ ionization and excitation of atoms

Neutral particle \rightarrow interaction with material \rightarrow charge particle production \rightarrow ionization and excitation of atoms

Summary of the energy loss mechanisms:

- multiple scattering
- Bethe-Block
- e[±]
- photons

Bethe-Block

The mean energy loss of a charged particle:

$$-\frac{dE}{dx} = \rho 4\pi N_0 r_e^2 mc^2 \frac{Z}{A} z^2 \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2mc^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 T_{\text{max}}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\gamma)}{2} \right]$$

- 1. It depends on the charge of the incident particle (z^2)
- 2. It depends on the average excitation potential of the material (I)
- 3. It goes as $1/\beta^2$ for increasing β with a minimum around $\beta\gamma \sim 3\div 4$ which is almost the same for all particles of the same charge, then grows again (log($\beta^2\gamma^2$) dominates) relativistic rise
- 4. The relativistic raise stops and it reachs a plateau (Fermi plateau)



Figure 26.3: Mean energy loss rate in liquid (bubble chamber) hydrogen, gaseous helium, carbon, aluminum, iron, tin, and lead. Radiative effects, relevant for muons and pions, are not included. These become significant for muons in iron for $\beta\gamma \gtrsim 1000$, and at lower momenta for muons in higher-Z absorbers. See Fig. 26.20.

Corso Dottorato 2014

Energy loss fluctuations

Thick absorber: many interactions \rightarrow the energy loss is distributed as a Gaussian.

Thin absorber: Landau distribution or/and Vavilov distribution



Cerenkov Effect

The Cerenkov radiation is emitted when a charged particle moves in a material medium faster than the speed of light in the same material, $\beta c=v>c/n$ where v is the speed of the particle and n is the index of refraction of the material.

The light is emitted at fixed angle



Cerenkov Effect

The number of photons emitted per unit length and unit wavelength:

$$\frac{d^2 N}{dx d\lambda} = \frac{2\pi z^2 \alpha}{\lambda^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2 n^2} \right) = \frac{2\pi z^2 \alpha}{\lambda^2} \sin^2 \theta_C$$
$$\frac{d^2 N}{dx d\lambda} \propto \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \quad \text{with} \ \lambda = \frac{c}{\nu} = \frac{hc}{E} \quad \frac{d^2 N}{dx dE} = const.$$

It decreases as function of wavelength

It is constant as function of E





Electrons energy loss

Electrons and positrons lose energy by collisions with material atoms described by the Bethe-Block formula (modified) and by Bremsstrahlung.

 $(dE/dx)_{tot} = (dE/dx)_{rad} + (dE/dx)_{coll}$ The critical energy E_c is defined $(dE/dx)_{rad} = (dE/dx)_{coll}$ $E_c = 800(MeV)/(Z+1.2)$ For high values of γ (E>E_c) the dominant process is the Bremsstrahlung: $dE/dx = E/X_0$

```
from which: E=E<sub>o</sub>e<sup>-x/Xo</sup>
```

that defines the radiation length:

```
after a legth x=X_0 the energy drops by 1/e
```

Multiple Scattering

Elastic scattering of particle on nucleus material, the particle does not lose energy but change direction (Coulomb scattering)

> The average angle of scattering is zero in the multiple scattering but the dispersion can be calculated $\langle \theta_{ms}^2 \rangle = \frac{x}{V} \frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \frac{m^2}{\alpha^2 - 2}$ as function of energy X_a = radiation length θ

$$\theta_{ms} = \frac{E_s}{\beta c p} \sqrt{x X_0} \qquad \left(E_s = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{\alpha}} \cdot mc^2 \approx 21 \, MeV \right)$$

If the particle goes through a "small" number of X more accurate:

$$\theta_{ms} = \frac{19.2}{\beta cp} [MeV] \sqrt{x/X_0} \left(1 + 0.038 \ln \left(\frac{x}{X_0} \right) \right)$$

θ

When the particle hits the nucleus electron \rightarrow small particle deviation Possible e extraction (delta rays) July 21, 2014 Corso Dottorato 2014 41

Photons energy loss

Photons interact with matter via:

- photoelectric effect
- Compton effect
- pairs production

At high energy the pairs production dominates



July 21, 2014

Electromagnetic Showers

Combining what we have seen on e^{\pm} and γ interaction we can understand how high energy em particles interact with matter forming showers



Electron shower in a cloud chamber with lead absorbers

July 21, 2014

Electromagnetic Showers

Simple Model



Assume only Bremsstrahlung and pairs production After a distance t (distance in radiation length) there will be N(t) particles each with an average energy E(t): $N(t) = 2^{t} E(t) / particle = E_{0} \cdot 2^{-t}$ The process stops when E(t) < E_ $t_{\max} = \frac{\ln E_0 / E_c}{\ln 2} \qquad N_{total} = \sum_{t=0}^{t_{\max}} 2^t = 2^{(t_{\max} + 1)} - 1 \approx 2 \frac{E_0}{E_c}$

For t>t $_{_{\rm max}}$ Compton and photoelectric effects dominate

Electromagnetic Showers

Longitudinal dimension:

 $\frac{dE}{dt} \propto t^{\alpha} e^{-t} \qquad \text{The shower maximum:} \quad t_{\max} = \ln \frac{E_0}{E_c} \frac{1}{\ln 2}$

The 95% of the shower is in $t_{95\%} \approx t_{max} + 0.08Z + 9.6$

Transversal dimension:

The spread of the shower is due to the multiple scattering not to the emission angles of particles. The 95% of the shower is contained within a distance of about 2R.

$$R_{M} = \frac{21MeV}{E_{c}} X_{0} \left[gr/cm^{2} \right]$$
 Moliere Radius

Example: $E_0 = 100 \text{ GeV}$ in lead glass $E_c = 11.8 \text{ MeV} \rightarrow +_{max} \sim 13, +_{95\%} \sim 23,$ $X_0 \sim 2 \text{ cm}, R_m = 1.8 \cdot X_0 \sim 3.6 \text{ cm}$

8 cm

July 21, 2014

Hadronic showers

High energy hadrons interact with matter via nuclear interactions

multiplicity $\propto \ln(E)$

 $p_t \approx 0.35 \text{ GeV/c}$

At high energy the cross section almost does not depend on the E_{in} and in analogy to X_{n} we define the interaction length $\lambda_{I} = A/(N_{A}\sigma_{total}) \approx A^{1/4}$



The shower has the EM and hadronic component. The longitudinal dimension: $t_{max}(\lambda_I) \approx 0.2 \ln E[GeV] + 0.7$ lron: a = 9.4, b=39 $t_{95}(cm) \approx a \ln E + b$ $\lambda_a = 16.7 \text{ cm}$

The products are:

nucleus fragments +

secondary particles

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{E =}100 \mbox{ GeV} \\ \mbox{\rightarrow} t_{_{95\%}} \approx 80 \mbox{ cm} \quad {}^{46} \end{array}$

July 21, 2014

hadron

p,n,π,K,...

Z.A

Detectors: Fundamental Principles

Detectors used at accelerator are complex devices.



Detector for each particles



CMS Detector



July 21, 2014



Jet Energy determination



Jet energy corrections are needed to scale the measured energy of the jet back to the energy of the final state particle level jet:

- non-linearity effects and energy loss in the un-instrumented regions
- multiple
- tainty interactions
- underlying event





0.08

0.06





July 21, 2014

Corso Dottorato 2014

200

Cone + Splash-ou

Neutrino Identification

Not enough material in collider detectors to have neutrino interactions. Neutrinos are identified via the transverse missing energy:



Particle Identification

TOF at CDF



Hadron Collider: Trigger

The trigger selects events that are then written to permanent support (tape).

- The initial rate (many MHz) is reduced to few kHz.
- Usually it is structured in "levels".
- Each level must keep the selected events until the decision is taken.
- The first levels are synchronous, the system time correspond to the inter-bunch time.
- The last levels are asynchronous running non computer farm.

Hadron Collider: Trigger

Level "0": Event rate: 10^9 Hz. Detector channels: $10^7 - 10^8$ DAQ is running constantly at 40 MHz. Data flow $\approx 10^{16}$ bit/sec



Level-1 trigger: coarse selection of interesting candidate events within a few μ s. L1-rigger output rate \approx 100 kHz Implementation: specific hardware (ASICS, FPGA, DSP)

Level-2 trigger: refinement of selection criteria within ≈ 1 ms. L2 output rate: ≈ 1 kHz Implementation: fast processor farms.

Level-3 trigger: identification of the physical process. Writing data to storage medium. L3- output rate: 10 - 100 Hz Event size: ≈ 1 Mbyte. Implementation: fast processor farms.

July 21, 2014

Hadron Collider: Trigger

Atlas Level 1



Reject event as soon as additional track $p \rightarrow p$ found (jet is not isolated)

Fast enough at low luminosity for full L1 rate; at high luminosity may need a moderate Calorimeter pre-selection factor to reduce

Reject event if no "leading track found" (jet is not charged)

Regional Tracking: Look only inside Isolatio Conditional Tracking: Stop track as soon as If Pt<1 GeV with high C.L.

Regional Tracking: Look only in Jet-track matching cone Conditional Tracking: Stop track as soon as: If Pt<1 GeV with high C.L.

Hadron Collider: HLT t Trigger @CMS



rate

Ready for the Physics!

July 21, 2014