RC Meeting, August 7 2012

News:

- Toy Monte Carlo validation:
 - MC Fít (two strategies)
 - → Real Data Fít

•Computation of systematic errors finalized

Toy MC Validation

•MC Fit Validation

 Many pseudo-experiments generated by randomizing the number of events in the various subsamples: (BO,B+)X(BKG,Peaking)X(Btag,Dtag), Off-Peak, Continuum, CP-eigenstatesX(Btag, Dtag); 95% of the full statistics considered to allow for positive fluctuactions. • Every subsample divided according to lepton kind (e/μ) and different charge combination for the (lepton, Kaon) pair: Total of 96 different categories considered Relevant variables shapes randomized for every

category: PK, Δt , $\sigma(\Delta t)$, $\theta(\text{lepton-K})$

Toy MC Validation

- Two different strategies considered:
 - Every event is assigned to the right category according to the MC informations. The shapes of the distributions are predicted by the simulation;
 - 2) Every event is assigned to the category determined by the fit itself. The shapes of the distributions are the projections of the likelihood in the corresponding variable.

•Strategy n. 2) used also in the validation of the Real Data fit

Toy MC Validation





- Líkelíhood Scan:-3.5±4.6
 Nomínal Fít:-3.5±3.0
- •Toy Spread: -3.7±4.3
- •Toy Pull:1.466

Corrected Toy stat. error
4.3/√0.95=4.4 in good
agreement with Likel. scan
Pull X Fit stat. Error=4.4 OK4

Toy MC Validation





- Líkelíhood Scan:-3.5±4.6
 Nomínal Fít:-3.5±3.0
- •Toy Spread: -8.4±4.3
- Toy Pull:1.360

Corr. Toy stat. error: 4.4 in good agreement with Likel. scan
Pull X Fit stat. Error=4.1
Bías of 4.9: systematic error 5

Toy MC Validation

•Real data Fit (Blind values in unit of 10-4)



•Toy Pull:1.645



- Líkelíhood Scan: 55.6±8.4 Corr. Toy stat. error: 9.1 ín
 Nomínal Fít: 55.2±5.2 agreement with Líkel. scan
 Toy Spread: 51.6±8.9 Pull X Fít stat. Error=8.6
 - •Bías of 3.6: systematic error(?)6

Systematics (XIO-4)

•Sample composition determined by external fit by floating D**, D*, Combinatorial & assuming Continuum from rescaled Offpeak, CP-eigenstates from MC and B+/B0 fraction from MC.

- → D**, D*, Combinatorial varied exploiting covariance matrix (biggest assumed as systematic error) = ±10.9
- CP fraction varied by $\pm 50\% = \pm 3.1$
- → B+/B0 combinatorial BKG varied by $\pm 10\% = \pm 8.7$
- → Peaking BKG varied by $\pm 20\% = \pm 2.2/-9.6$
- •Analysis Bias 1: δ (Lik scan_{MC}) =±4.6
- •Analysis Bias 2 (Toy study)=-3.6
- •B0 lifetime fixed to PDG=+1.8
- •B+ lifetime fixed to PDG=+2.0
- • Δm_d fixed to PDG=+0.6

Systematics/Results

Table 9: Systematic errors due to D_{tag} description.

Systematics from Sample Composition:

Source	$\Delta q/p $
Δt shape	$+1.30 imes10^{-3}$
R_{MC}	$^{+0.10}_{-0.31} \times 10^{-3}$
Total	$^{+1.30}_{-0.31} \times 10^{-3}$

Source	$\Delta q/p $
Combinatorial	$\pm 1.09 \times 10^{-3}$
D**	$\pm 0.78 \times 10^{-3}$
D^*	$\pm 0.44 \times 10^{-3}$
Peaking Background	$^{+0.22}_{-0.96} imes 10^{-3}$
B^- Combinatorial Fraction	$\pm 0.87 \times 10^{-3}$
CP-eigenstates	-0.31×10^{-3}
Total	$^{+1.41}_{-1.73} imes 10^{-3}$

Table 11: Systematic uncertainti	es on $ q/p $.		
Source	$ \Delta q/p $		
D_{tag} description	$^{+1.30}_{-0.31} imes10^{-3}$	Blind Result (X 10-3)	
$\Delta \epsilon_{Rec}$	$\pm 0.01 \times 10^{-3}$	Dimarkesun (Kro)	
$\Delta \epsilon_{Tag}$	$\pm 0.07 \times 10^{-3}$	a/b = 1 - 1 + 0 = 01 + 2 = 08 / 1 = 85	
Resolution	$+0.60 \times 10^{-3}$	$ q p =1-\Lambda \pm 0.91\pm 2.00/-1.0)$	
Analysis bias (MC statistical error)	$\pm 0.46 \times 10^{-3}$		
Analysis bias (Toy MC)	-0.36 10 ⁻³	Asl=X+4.6/-4.2	
Sample composition	$^{+1.41}_{-1.73} imes 10^{-3}$	C	
CP -eigenstates parameterization	-	B-factories average	
$ au_{B^0}$	$+0.18 \times 10^{-3}$		
$ au_{B^{-}}$	$+0.20 \times 10^{-3}$	$A_{5} = -47 + 46$	
Δm_d	$+0.06 \times 10^{-3}$	8	
Total	$^+_2.08 \times 10^{-3}$		
	1.85		