RC Meeting, May 30 2012

Priority Items, as discussed during Ferrara CM:

•Update the documentation

- BAD 1738 V16 uploaded with all the description of the new analysis
- •Redo MC validation and Real Data results floating also the resolution parameters
- Preliminary results OK, more iterations needed •Perform a Toy MC Study for the determination of the statístical error



🕐 Huge Spread observed, separate likelihood scans needed for the different generated experiments...(?)  $^{1}$ 

BAD 1738 V16

#### http://www.slac.stanford.edu/BFROOT/www/Physics/BAD/vol15/01738.016.pdf

Measurement of CP Violation in  $B\overline{B}$  Mixing on the Recoil of partially reconstructed  $\overline{B}{}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\ell^- \overline{\nu}_{\ell}$  using Kaon Tags

The BABAR Collaboration

May 28, 2012

#### Abstract

In this analysis we use the total Run1-6 dataset, Release 24-Analysis 5 to measure the |q/p| parameter, governing CP violation in the  $B^0\overline{B}^0$  mixing.  $\overline{B}^0 \to D^{*+}\ell^-\overline{\nu}_{\ell}$  decays are selected by using partial reconstruction of the  $D^{*+}$  while the flavor of the un-reconstructed B is tagged from the charge of a kaon identified among its decay products. Detector related charge asymmetries are disentangled from the physical semileptonic one, without relying on control samples, by exploiting the charge asymmetries of the  $B^+$  background and of the subsamples of kaons coming from the partially reconstructed  $D^{*+}$ .



•Scan to be optimized with more iterations

# MC validation with free resolution

Measured vs Generated |q/p|:

•Still very few (only 3...) optimized fits with the new strategy...



## MC validation with free resolution

Detector Asymmetry Study: ~stable result (but it needs more iterations)



Real Data Results with free resolution

Likelihood minimum moves by "-1/-3 10-3" w.r.t. The previous one



## Real Data Results with free resolution

Detector Asymmetry Study: ~OK but more iterations needed...



Toy MC Study

### Enrico Feltresi



Toy MC Study

Spread too big to be a serious problem... What is happening?

•Bug in the Toy MC algorithm?

•On the "Standard" MC and Real Data samples the fit needs some likelihood scan iteration before reaching convergence and a proper likelihood behaviour:

Does every generated experiment need the same procedure?

A Combination of the previous two?
Code to be debugged...