Status of the Analysis on CPV in mixing using P.R. D*lv and K-tag

Martino, 9/23/2008

1

•Reason why we missed the Summer Conferences;

•Work in progress;

•Next Steps.

Analysis Bias on |q/p| from MC

Pure B⁰ SIGNAL

 $B^0 BKG$

B⁰ SIGNAL+BKG



Possible source of bias: Dtag fraction misdetermination

- •|q/p| obtained from Semileptonic Asymmetry of Btag B⁰ Signal sample: Asl=-2(|q/p|-1)
- •**Standard Approach:** Dtag events fraction for ALL the event categories (B⁰⁽⁺⁾, SIGNAL/BKG, mixed/unmixed) determined in the global fit from:

→Narrower Δt distribution w.r.t. Btag sample;

•Distribution of the angle between the tagging K and the lepton from the P.R. Decay;

•B⁰ BKG PDF(Δt) does not reproduce correctly the data: BIAS in the |q/p| determination from the B⁰ BKG;

•Due to the very similar shape of the Δt and Θ_{K-1} distributions for the

different samples, the corresponding Dtag fractions are strongly correlated: \Rightarrow Wrong F(Dtag) for B⁰_{BKG} reflects in a wrong F(Dtag) for B⁰_{SIG} if fitted together

→Not possible to determine simultaneously ALL the Dtag Fractions for the different samples without intoducing a bias.

[Btag: K, lepton from different B^0 decays; Dtag: K, lepton from same B^0 decay]

Exercise:

•Dtag Fraction from MC

SIGNAL



q/p bias disappeared on B^o combinatorial BKG!

BKG

New Approach (in progress):

Mixed $e^{-}K^{-}$

•Determine the Dtag fraction from an external fit on Θ_{K-1} as in the B⁰ τ & Mixing Analysis (Franco):



•Fix F(Dtag) (at least for the BKG sample) in the global fit.

Unmixed $e^{-}K^{+}$

Next Steps

•Enrico Feltresi is taking over the analysis responsibility;

•Check the new approach on the B⁰ SIGNAL+BKG MC;

•Full fit on MC;

•Full fit on Real Data;